Prefiled Testimony of Joseph F. Conneely



NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC. NEW HAMPSHIRE DIVISION SUMMER PERIOD 2011 COST OF GAS ADJUSTMENT FILING PREFILED TESTIMONY OF JOSEPH F. CONNEELY

1	I.	INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and position.
3	A.	My name is Joseph F. Conneely. My business address is 6 Liberty Lane West,
4		Hampton, New Hampshire.
5		
6	Q.	For whom do you work and in what capacity?
7	A.	I am a Senior Regulatory Analyst for Unitil Service Corp. ("Unitil Service"), a
8		subsidiary of Unitil Corporation that provides managerial, financial, regulatory
9		and engineering services to Unitil Corporation's principal subsidiaries Fitchburg
10		Gas and Electric Light Company, d/b/a Unitil ("FG&E"), Granite State Gas
11		Transmission, Inc. ("Granite"), Northern Utilities, Inc. d/b/a Unitil ("Northern"),
12		and Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. ("UES") (together "Unitil"). In this capacity I
13		am responsible for managing reporting requirements and filing required reports.
14		
15	Q.	Please summarize your professional and educational background.
16	A.	I graduated from Saint Anselm College, Manchester, New Hampshire in 1999
17		with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Financial Economics. Before joining Unitil, I
18		worked for the Royal Bank of Scotland- Sempra Energy Trading Corp. joint
19		venture ("RBS") in Greenwich, Connecticut as a senior electricity and natural gas
20		trader. Prior to working for RBS, I was employed as a mid-term electricity and

Prefiled Testimony of Joseph F. Conneely 2011 Summer Season CGA Filing Page 2 of 4

1		natural gas trader at Morgan Stanley in New York City. Before this position at
2		Morgan Stanley, I ran an energy trading book at Shell Gas and Energy Trading
3		North America in La Jolla, California. I joined Usource, a subsidiary of Unitil
4		Service Corp in August 2008. In December 2008 I joined the Regulatory
5		Services Department at Unitil.
6		
7	Q.	Have you previously testified before the New Hampshire Public Utilities
8		Commission?
9	A.	Yes. I testified before the Commission in Northern's Winter Period 2010 - 2011
10		Cost of Gas Adjustment proceeding, Docket DG 10-250.
11		
12	II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
14	A.	The purpose of my testimony is twofold. First, I will introduce and describe
15		Northern's 2010 Summer Period Cost of Gas Adjustment Reconciliation filing. In
16		addition, I provide a typical bill analysis for the rate changes in this docket that
17		are proposed for effect May 1, 2011.
18		
19	Q.	Is Northern filing a corrected 2010 Summer Period Reconciliation?
20	A.	Yes. A corrected filing is being made because Northern found that it misstated
21		the Summer Period allocation of the Miscellaneous Overhead line item under

1		correct Summer allocation for Miscellaneous Overhead is \$28,452, not \$31,261 as
2		was submitted in the January 25, 2011 Reconciliation filing. Northern has also
3		corrected Schedule 4 page 1 of the Summer Period Reconciliation. The line item
4		"ATV Reconciliation Charges" incorrectly stated a total of \$729,136. The correct
5		total for this item is \$862,344. The corrected schedules illustrate the Company's
6		under-collection of \$124,276. The January 25, 2011 Reconciliation filing
7		incorrectly presented an over-collection of (\$7,568).
8		
9	Q.	Please explain the prior Summer Period under-collection of \$124,276.
10	A.	Schedule 5 provides the 2010 Summer Period Cost of Gas Adjustment
11		Reconciliation ("Reconciliation") as filed in Docket DG 10-050 on January 25,
12		2011. The 2010 Summer Period under-collection of \$124,276 is the result of the
13		following factors: a previous Summer Period (2009) under-collection of \$91,535;
14		cost of gas expense of \$4,981,640; interest expense of \$5,937; and collections in
15		the amount of (\$4,942,961). The Reconciliation also presents the (over)-
16		collection balance for the working capital allowance of (\$7,494), and the under-
17		collection balance for bad debt allowance in the amount of \$3,159.
18		
19	Q.	Does Northern propose to collect those amounts during the 2011 Summer
20		Period?
21	Α.	Yes. Northern proposes to collect the 2010 Summer Period under-collection
22		balances through the cost of gas rates proposed as part of this filing.

Prefiled Testimony of Joseph F. Connecly 2011 Summer Season CGA Filing Page 4 of 4

is \$0.0491 per therm lower than the 2010 Summer Period rate of \$1.55 therm. This is discussed in the testimony of Mr. Simpson. Q. Have you prepared a typical bill analysis showing the impact of the changes for effect on May 1, 2011 on a typical residential gas be customer? A. Yes. Schedule 8 provides this analysis and shows the impact of the changes. A typical residential heating customer consuming 318 the changes. A typical residential heating customer consuming 318 the changes. A typical residential heating customer consuming 318 the changes.	1	Q.	How does the 2011 Summer Period proposed cost of gas compare with the
is \$0.0491 per therm lower than the 2010 Summer Period rate of \$1.55 therm. This is discussed in the testimony of Mr. Simpson. Q. Have you prepared a typical bill analysis showing the impact of the changes for effect on May 1, 2011 on a typical residential gas be customer? A. Yes. Schedule 8 provides this analysis and shows the impact of the changes. A typical residential heating customer consuming 318 the 2011 Summer Period will see a bill of \$396.66 for the entire Sum May through October. This is \$10.57, or 2.59% lower than the bid usage during the 2010 Summer Period. Does this conclude your testimony?	2		2010 Summer Period cost of gas rate?
therm. This is discussed in the testimony of Mr. Simpson. Have you prepared a typical bill analysis showing the impact of the changes for effect on May 1, 2011 on a typical residential gas because the customer? Yes. Schedule 8 provides this analysis and shows the impact of the changes. A typical residential heating customer consuming 318 the 2011 Summer Period will see a bill of \$396.66 for the entire Summay through October. This is \$10.57, or 2.59% lower than the bin usage during the 2010 Summer Period. Does this conclude your testimony?	3	A.	The proposed residential heating 2011 Summer Period rate of \$0.6354 per therm
Q. Have you prepared a typical bill analysis showing the impact of the changes for effect on May 1, 2011 on a typical residential gas by customer? A. Yes. Schedule 8 provides this analysis and shows the impact of the changes. A typical residential heating customer consuming 318 the 2011 Summer Period will see a bill of \$396.66 for the entire Summay through October. This is \$10.57, or 2.59% lower than the bill usage during the 2010 Summer Period. Does this conclude your testimony?	4		is \$0.0491 per therm lower than the 2010 Summer Period rate of \$0.6845 per
7 Q. Have you prepared a typical bill analysis showing the impact of the changes for effect on May 1, 2011 on a typical residential gas be customer? 10 A. Yes. Schedule 8 provides this analysis and shows the impact of the changes. A typical residential heating customer consuming 318 the 2011 Summer Period will see a bill of \$396.66 for the entire Sum May through October. This is \$10.57, or 2.59% lower than the bid usage during the 2010 Summer Period. 15 16 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?	5		therm. This is discussed in the testimony of Mr. Simpson.
changes for effect on May 1, 2011 on a typical residential gas be customer? A. Yes. Schedule 8 provides this analysis and shows the impact of the changes. A typical residential heating customer consuming 318 the 2011 Summer Period will see a bill of \$396.66 for the entire Summay through October. This is \$10.57, or 2.59% lower than the bit usage during the 2010 Summer Period. Does this conclude your testimony?	6		
yes. Schedule 8 provides this analysis and shows the impact of the changes. A typical residential heating customer consuming 318 the 2011 Summer Period will see a bill of \$396.66 for the entire Summa May through October. This is \$10.57, or 2.59% lower than the bin usage during the 2010 Summer Period. Does this conclude your testimony?	7	Q.	Have you prepared a typical bill analysis showing the impact of the proposed
10 A. Yes. Schedule 8 provides this analysis and shows the impact of the changes. A typical residential heating customer consuming 318 the 2011 Summer Period will see a bill of \$396.66 for the entire Summer May through October. This is \$10.57, or 2.59% lower than the bit usage during the 2010 Summer Period. 15 16 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?	8		changes for effect on May 1, 2011 on a typical residential gas heating
changes. A typical residential heating customer consuming 318 th 2011 Summer Period will see a bill of \$396.66 for the entire Sum May through October. This is \$10.57, or 2.59% lower than the bin usage during the 2010 Summer Period. Q. Does this conclude your testimony?	9		customer?
2011 Summer Period will see a bill of \$396.66 for the entire Summand May through October. This is \$10.57, or 2.59% lower than the bin usage during the 2010 Summer Period. 15 16 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?	10	A.	Yes. Schedule 8 provides this analysis and shows the impact of the proposed
May through October. This is \$10.57, or 2.59% lower than the bit usage during the 2010 Summer Period. 15 16 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?	11		changes. A typical residential heating customer consuming 318 therms during the
usage during the 2010 Summer Period. 15 16 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?	12		2011 Summer Period will see a bill of \$396.66 for the entire Summer Period of
1516 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?	13		May through October. This is \$10.57, or 2.59% lower than the bill for the same
16 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?	14		usage during the 2010 Summer Period.
·	15		
17 A. Yes, it does.	16	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
	17	A.	Yes, it does.